addresses "Application Due Date", is corrected to read as follows:

Application Due Date. Your completed application (one original and two copies) is due on or before 12:00 midnight, Eastern time, on the following application due dates to HUD Headquarters at the address shown below.

June 15, 2000, for Resident Management and Business Development:

June 15, 2000, for Capacity Building or Conflict Resolution;

June 15, 2000, for Resident Service Delivery Models; and

After publication of this SuperNOFA, grant renewals will be accepted until all funds are awarded for Service Coordinators.

See the General Section of this SuperNOFA for specific procedures governing the form of application submission (e.g., mail application, express mail, overnight delivery, or hand-carried).

• On page 9702, second column, the paragraph designated as paragraph "(3)" on "Ineligible Activities" is corrected to read as follows:

(3) *Ineligible Activities.* Ineligible activities are the same as those listed in Section III(C)(4) of this program section of the SuperNOFA, above.

• On page 9704, first column, before the paragraph designated as paragraph "(5)" on "Ineligible Activities" a new paragraph (h) is added to read as follows:

(h) Administrative costs. Administrative costs may include, but are not limited to purchase of furniture, office equipment and supplies, quality assurance, travel and utilities. Administrative costs must not exceed 20% of the total grant costs.

• On page 9708, under Section VI (Application Submission Requirements), the last paragraph in the first column that continues into the second column, is corrected to read as follows

All applicants, except for applicants that are Service Coordinator renewal grantees, must include the following information regardless of the category under which they are applying for funds. Service Coordinator renewal grantees are not required to submit items (2) and (3), the ROSS Fact Sheet, and ROSS Program Summary, respectively.

• On page 9708, third column, continuing to page 9709, first column, the second paragraph in Section VI.(B)(2) is corrected to read as follows:

(2) Your application must contain a signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the RA and PHA which

describes the specific roles, responsibilities and activities to be undertaken by all parties to the MOU. Your MOU, at a minimum must identify the principal parties (i.e. the name of the PHA and RA, the terms of agreement), expectations or terms for each party, and indicate that the agreement pertains to the support of your grant application. This document is the basis for the foundation of the relationship between the RA and PHA. The MOU must be precise and outline the specific duties and objectives to be accomplished under the grant. All MOUs must be finalized, dated and signed by duly authorized officials of both the RA and PHA upon submission of the application.

7. Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly (Section 202 Program) Section, Beginning at 65 FR 9901

• On page 9908, in the third column, the last paragraph of Section V.(C) is corrected to read as follows:

Funds remaining after these processes are completed will be returned to Headquarters. HUD will use these funds first to fund Metropolitan Low Income Housing and CDC, Inc., a FY 1999 application in the jurisdiction of the Columbia, SC Multifamily Program Center, and White Sands Manor, a FY 1999 application in the jurisdiction of the Jacksonville, FL Multifamily Hub. These projects were not funded in FY 1999 due to HUD error. Second, HUD will use these funds to restore units to projects reduced by HUD offices as a result of the instructions for using residual funds. Third, HUD will use these funds for selecting applications based on field offices' rankings beginning with the highest rated application nationwide. Only one application will be selected per HUD office from the national residual amount (except for the Columbia, SC Multifamily Program Center and Jacksonville, FL Multifamily Hub which are already receiving additional selections as described above). If there are no approvable applications in other HUD offices, the process will begin with the selection of the next highest rated application nationwide. This process will continue until all approvable applications are selected using the available remaining funds.

• On page 9909, third column, under Rating Factor 3 (Soundness of Approach), the third subparagraph under paragraph (b)(ii) is corrected to read as follows:

—In the case of a metropolitan area, the neighborhood's total percentage of minority persons exceeds 50 percent of its population. The term "nonminority area" is defined as one in which the minority population is lower than 10 percent.

8. Section 811 Supportive Housing for Persons With Disabilities (Section 811 Program) Section, Beginning at 65 FR 9929

• On page 9938, first column, under Rating Factor 3 (Soundness of Approach), the third subparagraph under paragraph (b)(ii) is corrected to read as follows:

 In the case of a metropolitan area, the neighborhood's total percentage of minority persons exceeds 50 percent of its population. The term "nonminority area" is defined as one in which the minority population is lower than 10 percent.

• On page 9944, first column, under Appendix A, the address for the Atlanta Office is corrected to read as follows: Atlanta Office, 40 Marietta Street—Five Points Plaza, Atlanta, GA 30303–2806, (404) 331–5001.

Dated: May 1, 2000.

Saul N. Ramirez, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. 00–11552 Filed 5–8–00; 3:45 pm] BILLING CODE 4210-32–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Proposed Information Collections; Request for Comment

ACTION: Notice of proposed information collection; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) plans to submit the collection of information described below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Copies of the specific information collection requirements, related forms and explanatory material may be obtained by contacting the Service's Information Collection Clearance Officer at the address provided below.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received on or before July 10, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments and suggestions on the requirements should be sent to Rebecca A. Mullin, Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Suite 222, Arlington, VA 22203; 703/358–2287; or electronically to Rebecca Mullin@fws.gov FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sylvia Cabrera at 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Suite 140, Arlington, VA 22203; 703/358–1842; or electronically to Sylvia Cabrera@fws.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The OMB regulations at 5 CFR part 1320, which implement provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13), require that interested members of the public and affected agencies have an opportunity to comment on information collection and record keeping activities (see 5 CFR 1320.8(d)). We are seeking clearance from the OMB to collect information in conjunction with carrying out our responsibilities under the Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 777–777K) commonly referred to as the Dingell-Johnson Act, and the Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 699-699i) commonly referred to as the Pitman-Robertson Act. Under these acts, as amended, almost \$400 million in grants are provided annually to States for projects to support sport fish and wildlife management and restoration, including the acquisition and improvement of aquatic resources, fishing access, fish stocking, and the acquisition and improvement of wildlife management areas, facilities, and access. Grants also are provided for aquatic education and hunter education, maintenance of completed projects, and research into the problems affecting fish and wildlife resources. Those projects help ensure that the American people have adequate opportunities for wildlife-related recreation. To assist in carrying out its responsibilities, the Service has sponsored national surveys of fishing and hunting at about 5 year intervals since 1955. The Bureau of the Census conducts the survey for the Service. The survey data are needed to allow the Service to effectively administer the Sport Fish and Wildlife Restoration Grant Programs, and to help States develop project proposals and conservation programs that meet the needs of their populations. The survey collects information on the number of people participating in wildlife-related recreation, the number of days and expenditures spent on those activities. Survey data are needed to provide comparable state level information on existing recreation demands and to provide a basis for projecting future demands to effectively meet the needs of the American people. The information is needed to evaluate the effectiveness of existing programs in meeting those needs, formulate new policies, develop programs, and support budget proposals and legislation for the

benefit of sport fish and wildlife restoration. Data are needed to evaluate the status and trends of recreational uses, as well as the values and benefits, of fish and wildlife resources. The comprehensive comparable state-level data provided by the survey are not available from other sources. The Service is requesting a three year term of approval for this information collection. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

We invite your comments on: (1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

Title: 2001 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation (FHWAR).

Approval Number: New. Survey Form Numbers: FH–2 (Screen), FH–3 (Sportsmen), FH–4 (nonconsumptive) Questionnaires.

Description and Use: The 2001 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife Associated Recreation will be the 10th one conducted since 1955. It is conducted every 5 years and is requested by the States through the International Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies. It will be conducted by the Bureau of the Census using computer-assisted telephone or inperson interviews. A sample of sportsmen and non-consumptive participants will be selected from a household screen. Sample persons will be asked about their participation and expenditures. Three detailed interviews will be conducted during the survey year. The 2001 FHWAR Survey will be similar in scope to past surveys. It will generate information identified as priority data needed by the Federal and State fish and wildlife agencies responsible for administering the Sport Fish and Wildlife Restoration grant programs. Accordingly, the 2001 FHWAR Survey will be a comprehensive data base of fish and wildlife-related recreation activities such as freshwater, saltwater, and Great Lakes fishing; and big game, small game, migratory bird, and other animal hunting. Wildlife watching (nonconsumptive) activities include wildlife observation, feeding, and photographing

around the home and on trips away from home. Information is collected on days of participation, the species of animals sought, and how much money was spent on trips and for equipment. Information on the characteristics of participants include age, income, sex, education, race, and residency. The survey data has State level reliability. Federal and State fish and wildlife agencies use information from the survey as a basis to formulate management and policy decisions related to sport fish and wildlife restoration. Participation patterns and trend information assist in identifying present and future needs and demands. The information is used for planning the acquisition, development, and enhancement of fish and wildlife resources for the benefit of wildliferelated recreation. Data on expenditures, economic evaluation, and participation are used by land managing agencies to assess the value of fish and wildliferelated uses of natural resources. Expenditure information is used by States to estimate the economic impact of wildlife-related recreation expenditures on their economies and to support the dedication of tax revenues to support fish and wildlife restoration programs. The information collected on resident saltwater fishing will assist coastal States in determining the proper ratio for allocating funds between freshwater and saltwater projects as required by the Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration Act, as amended. The information is not readily available elsewhere because few States have saltwater licenses or conduct their own surveys. If the 2001 FHWAR Survey data were not available it would impair the ability of those States to meet their obligations under the Act.

In summary, the information collection is needed to assist the Fish and Wildlife Service and the State fish and wildlife agencies in administering the Sport Fish and Wildlife Restoration grant programs. The 2001 FHWAR Survey will provide up-to-date information on the uses and demands for wildlife-related recreation resources, trends in the uses of those resources, and a basis for developing and evaluating programs and projects to meet existing and future needs. The information collection is subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act requirements for such activity, which includes soliciting comments from the general public regarding the nature and burden imposed by the collection.

Frequency of Collection: Household screen interviews and the first detailed sportsmen and non-consumptive participant interviews will be conducted April–June 2001. The second detailed interviews will be conducted September–October 2001. The third and last detailed interviews will be conducted January–March 2002.

Description of Respondents: Individuals.

Estimated Completion Time: We estimate the average completion time per respondent to be about 7 minutes for the screen and 15 minutes for the detailed interviews. A respondent will average 2 interviews during the survey period.

Number of Respondents: It is estimated that there will be 80,000 total respondents.

Dated: April 28, 2000.

Jamie Rappaport Clark,

Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. [FR Doc. 00–11223 Filed 5–8–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-55–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Endangered and Threatened Species Permit Application

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of application.

The following applicant has applied for a permit amendment to conduct certain activities with endangered species. This notice is provided pursuant to section 10(c) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531, *et seq.*).

Permit Number TE 809227-13

Applicant: BHE Environmental, Inc., Cincinnati, Ohio.

The applicant requests a permit to take (collect) 33 fish species and 68 mussel species throughout their ranges in U.S. Fish and Wildlife Regions 3, 4 and 5. Activities are proposed for studies to identify populations of listed fish and mussel species and to develop methods to minimize or avoid project related impacts to those populations. The scientific research is aimed at enhancement of survival of the species in the wild.

Written data or comments should be submitted to the Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Services Operations, 1 Federal Drive, Fort Snelling, Minnesota 55111–4056, and must be received within 30 days of the date of this publication.

Documents and other information submitted with this application are available for review by any party who submits a written request for a copy of such documents to the following office within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Services Operations, 1 Federal Drive, Fort Snelling, Minnesota 55111–4056. Telephone: (612/713–5343); FAX: (612/713–5292).

Dated: May 2, 2000.

T.J. Miller,

Acting Assistant Regional Director, Ecological Services, Region 3, Fort Snelling, Minnesota. [FR Doc. 00–11542 Filed 5–8–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Notice of Availability of an Environmental Assessment/Habitat Conservation Plan and Receipt of Application for an Incidental Take Permit for Construction of Commercial and Residential Development on 110 Acres of the 446-acre Comanche Canyon Ranch in Travis County, Texas

SUMMARY: Comanche Canyon Ranch, Inc. (Applicant) has applied to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) for an incidental take permit pursuant to section 10(a) of the Endangered Species Act (Act). The Applicant has been assigned permit numbers TE-004683-0. The requested permit, which is for a period of 30 years, would authorize the incidental take of the endangered golden-cheeked warbler (Dendroica chrysoparia), Tooth Cave pseudoscorpion (Tartarocreagris texana), Kretschmarr Cave mold Beetle (Texamaurops reddelli), Bee Creek Cave harvestman (Texella reddelli), Bone Cave harvestman (Texella revesi), Tooth Cave spider (Neoleptoneta myopica), and Tooth Cave ground beetle (Rhadine persephone). The proposed take would occur as a result of the construction of commercial and residential structures with associated streets and utilities on 110 acres of the 446-acre Comanche Canyon Ranch, Travis County, Texas.

The Service has completed the review of the draft Environmental Assessment/ Habitat Conservation Plan (EA/HCP) for the incidental take application. A determination of jeopardy to the species or a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) will not be made until at least 30 days from the date of publication of this notice. This notice is provided pursuant to Section 10(c) of the Act and National Environmental Policy Act regulations (40 CFR 1506.6).

DATES: Written comments on the application should be received on or before June 8, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Persons wishing to review the application may obtain a copy by writing to the Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, P.O. Box 1306, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103. Persons wishing to review the EA/HCP may obtain a copy by written or telephone request to Christina Longacre, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Services Office, 10711 Burnet Road, Suite 200, Austin, Texas 78758 (512/490-0057). Documents will be available for public inspection by written request or by appointment only during normal business hours (8:00 to 4:30) at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Office, Austin, Texas. Data or comments concerning the application and EA/HCP should be submitted in writing to the Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Office, Austin, Texas at the above address. Please refer to permit number TE-004683–0 when submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christina Longacre at the above U.S.Fish and Wildlife Service Office, Austin, TX.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 9 of the Act prohibits the "taking" of endangered species such as the goldencheeked warbler, Bone Cave harvestman, Tooth Cave spider, Bee Creek Cave harvestman, Tooth Cave ground beetle and the Kretschmarr Cave mold beetle. However, the Service, under limited circumstances, may issue permits to take endangered wildlife species incidental to, and not the purpose of, otherwise lawful activities. Regulations governing permits for endangered species are at 50 CFR 17.22.

Applicant

Comanche Canyon Inc. plans to construct residential and commercial structures with associated streets and utilities in Travis County, Texas. This action will eliminate approximately 26 acres of habitat and indirectly impact 37 additional acres of golden-cheeked warbler habitat. The Applicant proposes to compensate for this incidental take of golden-cheeked warbler habitat by preserving a minimum of 213 acres onsite.

If any voids are encountered that contain the listed karst invertebrates, the Applicant will minimize and mitigate any impacts determined by the Service.

Alternatives to this action were rejected because not developing the subject property with federally listed species present would not add preserve