(2) Obtain additional suggestions and information on the scope of alternative and impacts to be considered.

The Service solicited written comments and held three public open house scoping meetings and three focus group meetings during the scoping phase of the CCP development process. Comments received October 2000 to the present from this previous phase will be incorporated into the scoping for the EIS. The Service is inviting additional written comments on the scope of alternatives and impacts to be considered. In addition, the Service is inviting comments on archeological, historic, and traditional cultural sites in support of the National Historic Preservation Act.

**DATES:** Special mailings, newspaper articles, and other media announcements will inform people of the opportunities for written input. The public scoring process will continue until May 29, 2001. Written comments submitted by mail or email should be postmarked by that date to ensure consideration. Comments mailed after that date will be considered to the extent practical.

ADDRESSES: Address comments to: Refuge Manager, Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge, 8588 Route 148, Marion, IL 62959; or E-mail: conwrccp@fws.gov

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Thomas Palmer, Planning Coordinator, Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 8588 Route 148, Marion, IL 62959–9970, telephone 618–997–3344, extension 319, *or* Mr. John Schomaker, Refuge Planning Specialist, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, RO/AP, BHW Federal Building, 1 Federal Drive, Ft. Snelling, MN 55111, telephone 612–713–5476; *or* E-mail: conwr-ccp@fws.gov

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** By Federal law, all lands within the National Wildlife Refuge System are to be managed in accordance with an approved CCP. The CCP guides management decisions and identifies refuge goals, long-range objectives, and strategies for achieving refuge purposes.

The CCP planning process will consider many elements, including wildlife and habitat management, habitat protection and acquisition, wilderness preservation, public recreational activities, industrial use, and cultural resource preservation. Public input into this planning process is essential. The CCP will provide other agencies and the public with a clear understanding of the desired conditions for the Refuge and how the Service will implement management strategies.

The Service solicited written comments and held three public open house scoping meetings and three focus group meetings during the scoping phase of the CCP development process. The Service previously notified the public (FEDERAL REGISTER/Vol. 65, No. 194/October 5, 2000) that following public scoping of issues, we would determine whether to prepare an EIS or an environmental assessment (EA). The Service has decided to prepare an EIS in accordance with procedures for implementing NEPA found in the Departmental manual 516 DM 6, Appendix 1.

The Service contracted for a cultural resources overview study in support of the comprehensive conservation plan. The professional study has identified known sites on the refuge. We are also asking the public to identify any cultural sites that are important to them.

Review of this project will be conducted in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as Amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), NEPA Regulations (40 CFR parts 1500– 1508), other appropriate Federal laws and regulations, and Service policies and procedures for compliance with those regulations.

We estimate that the draft environmental documents will be available in summer 2002.

Dated: April 11, 2001. **Marvin E. Moriarty**, *Acting Regional Director*. [FR Doc. 01–10217 Filed 4–24–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4310-55-M** 

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

**Fish and Wildlife Service** 

## Availability of a Draft Environmental Assessment on the Proposal To Establish Operational/Experimental General Swan Hunting Seasons in the Pacific Flyway

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** This notice advises the public that a Draft Environmental Assessment on the Continuation of General Swan Hunting Seasons in Portions of the Pacific Flyway is available for public review. Comments and suggestions are requested.

**DATES:** You must submit comments on the Draft Environmental Assessment by May 25, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the Draft Environmental Assessment can be

obtained by writing to Robert Trost, Pacific Flyway Representative, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Migratory Bird Management, 911 NE. 11th Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97232– 4181. The Draft Environmental Assessment may also be viewed via the Fish and Wildlife Service Home Page at http://migratorybirds.fws.gov. Written comments can be sent to the address above. All comments received, including names and addresses, will become part of the public record. You may inspect comments during normal business hours at the same address.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Trost at: Pacific Flyway Representative, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Migratory Bird Management, 911 NE. 11th Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97232–4181, (503) 231–6162.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Draft Environmental Assessment includes a review of the 5-year experimental general swan hunting seasons which took place from 1995 to 2000 as well as a summary of the results of the 2000 hunting season. Information from the most recent breeding season and wintering populations surveys is also included in the new Environmental Assessment. Three alternatives are proposed to address the future of operational and experimental swan hunting seasons in Utah, Nevada and Montana. The issuance of a new Environmental Assessment was prompted by controversy over current management and the need to incorporate experience from the 2000 hunting season and the results of recent population surveys. There were also many requests from individuals, States, and various conservation organizations for a thorough examination of alternatives for swan hunting in the Pacific Flyway in light of continuing concerns for the Rocky Mountain Population of trumpeter swans. The Environmental Assessment focuses on the issue of whether or not to establish an operational approach for swan hunting. Related efforts to address population status and distributional concerns regarding the Rocky Mountain Population of trumpeter swans are also discussed. Three alternatives, including the proposed action, are considered.

Dated: April 18, 2001.

## Marshall P. Jones, Jr.,

Acting Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 01–10258 Filed 4–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55–P