

The loggerhead turtle is listed as a threatened species throughout its range. This species is circumglobal, preferring temperate and tropical waters. In the southeastern United States, 50,000 to 70,000 nests are deposited annually, about 90 percent of which occur in Florida. Most nesting in the Gulf outside of Florida appears to be in the Chandeleur Islands of Louisiana; Ship, Horn and Petit Bois Islands in Mississippi; and the outer coastal sand beaches of Alabama. The Service's nesting surveys of the Fort Morgan Peninsula, from Laguna Key to Mobile Point, for the 2001 report included over 70 loggerhead turtle nests.

The Kemp's ridley sea turtle is an endangered species throughout its range. Adults are found mainly in the Gulf of Mexico. Immature turtles can be found along the Atlantic coast as far north as Massachusetts and Canada. The species' historic range is tropical and temperate seas in the Atlantic Basin and in the Gulf of Mexico. Nesting occurs primarily in Tamaulipas, Mexico, but occasionally also in Texas and other southern states, including an occasional nest in North Carolina. In 1999, a Kemp's ridley sea turtle nested on Bon Secour National Wildlife Refuge and another along the Gulf Island's National Seashore in Perdido Key, Florida. In 2001, two dead Kemp's ridley sea turtle hatchlings were recovered, one on Bon Secour National Wildlife Refuge, and the second in Gulf Shores, Alabama.

The EA considers the environmental consequences of three alternatives, including a no-action alternative that would result in no new construction on the Project site. This alternative would not be economically feasible for the applicant. The remaining two development alternatives involve construction of two single family residences and driveways. The difference between the two development alternatives relates to the amount of undisturbed habitat remaining on the property after construction has been completed.

In the Applicant's preferred alternative, the project involves construction of two single family residences on approximately 38 percent of the total lot. The remaining 62 percent of the habitat on the lot would be undisturbed. Existing dune habitat located outside the building footprint will be restored and planted with sea oats. The Applicant plans to store sand and vegetated material removed during excavation on the western side of the proposed residences. After construction is completed the material will be spread over the dune system to inoculate the area with seeds, stolons and other

vegetative material to enhance plant propagation. Approximately 300 units of sea oats will be installed on the primary and secondary dune system. Planting units will contain at least 3 shoots and have achieved a height of 12-18 inches. This alternative includes measures designed to avoid or minimize take by reducing the footprint of impervious surface by reducing the size of the driveway and eliminating a concrete pad under the residence. The lot outside the footprint of the driveway and house will be undeveloped and remain in indigenous vegetation. The mitigation plan described in the applicants' HCP includes an enhancement component. The dunes south of the property line extend in an east/west direction for approximately 100 feet. Sand fencing will be placed continuously along this dune area and approximately 900 sea oat plants installed.

In addition, a more aggressive land development alternative was considered. Under this alternative wholesale clearing, grading, and formal landscaping landward of the Coastal Construction Control Line would remove nearly all of the natural habitat and indigenous vegetation currently present on the property, with the exception of that protected by zoning and construction setbacks.

Trapping has not been done on the lot, however, based on trapping data on adjacent properties with similar habitat and the presence of ABM tracks, the ABM uses portions (some on a permanent basis, others episodically) of the entire lot. The proposed project would adversely impact the ABM population directly by killing individuals in the construction areas via crushing or entombment and indirectly by introduction of house pets (cats), introduction of competitors (house mice), attraction of predators and permanent human disturbances. Occupation of the proposed structures could adversely affect sea turtle nesting by disorienting nesting females and disorienting hatchlings by excess artificial lighting, trampling nests, and trapping or disorienting nesting females and emerging hatchlings among tire ruts or beach equipment left after dark.

Under section 9 of the Act and its implementing regulations, "taking" of endangered and threatened wildlife is prohibited. However, the Service, under limited circumstances, may issue permits to take such wildlife if the taking is incidental to and not the purpose of otherwise lawful activities. The Applicant has prepared an HCP as required for the incidental take permit

application, and as described above as part of the proposed project.

As stated above, the Service has made a preliminary determination that the issuance of the ITP is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of NEPA. This preliminary information may be revised due to public comment received in response to this notice and is based on information contained in the EA and HCP.

The Service will also evaluate whether the issuance of a section 10(a)(1)(B) ITP complies with Section 7 of the Act by conducting an intra-Service Section 7 consultation. The results of the biological opinion, in combination with the above findings, will be used in the final analysis to determine whether or not to issue the ITP.

Dated: April 22, 2002.

Thomas M. Riley,

Acting Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 02-11566 Filed 5-8-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Availability of an Environmental Assessment and Receipt of an Application for an Incidental Take Permit for FML81, LLC, Fort Morgan Peninsula, Baldwin County, AL

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: FML81, LLC (Applicant), seeks an incidental take permit (ITP) from the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), pursuant to section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Act), as amended. The ITP would authorize the take of the Federally listed endangered Alabama beach mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus ammobates*) (ABM), the threatened green sea turtle (*Chelonia mydas*), the threatened loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*), and the endangered Kemp's ridley sea turtle (*Lepidochelys kempii*), in Baldwin County, Alabama. The proposed taking is incidental to construction of a duplex dwelling unit on a 0.5 acre tract containing 75 linear feet of coastal dune habitat, fronting the Gulf of Mexico. The Project would permanently remove about 30% of the 0.5 acre tract (or approximately 6,518 square feet) that could potentially be inhabited by the ABM and three sea turtle species in Baldwin County, Alabama. A

description of the mitigation and minimization measures outlined in the Applicant's Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) to address the effects of the Project to the protected species is described further in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below. It should be noted that this application for an incidental take permit is one of seven applications currently being considered by the Fish and Wildlife Service for construction of single family/or duplex residences in coastal dune habitat fronting the Gulf of Mexico, on the Fort Morgan Peninsula, in Baldwin County, Alabama. Other Notices relating to these applications will appear in this issue of the **Federal Register** or in subsequent issues.

The Service also announces the availability of an environmental assessment (EA) and HCP for the incidental take application. Copies of the EA and/or HCP may be obtained by making a request to the Regional Office (see **ADDRESSES**). Requests must be in writing to be processed. This notice also advises the public that the Service has made a preliminary determination that issuing the ITP is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), as amended. The Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is based on information contained in the EA and HCP. The final determination will be made no sooner than 30 days from the date of this notice. This notice is provided pursuant to Section 10 of the Act and NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1506.6).

DATES: Written comments on the permit application, EA, and HCP should be sent to the Service's Regional Office (see **ADDRESSES**) and should be received on or before June 10, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Persons wishing to review the application, HCP, and EA may obtain a copy by writing the Service's Southeast Regional Office, Atlanta, Georgia. Documents will also be available for public inspection by appointment during normal business hours at the Regional Office, 1875 Century Boulevard, Suite 200, Atlanta, Georgia 30345 (Attn: Endangered Species Permits), or Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1208-B Main Street, Daphne, Alabama 36526 (Attn: Ms. Barbara Allen). Written data or comments concerning the application, EA, or HCP should be submitted to the Regional Office. Comments and requests for the documentation must be in writing to be processed. Please reference permit number TE052383-0 in such

comments, or in requests of the documents discussed herein.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David Dell, Regional Permit Coordinator, (see **ADDRESSES** above), telephone: 404/679-7313; or Ms. Barbara Allen, Fish and Wildlife Biologist, Daphne Field Office, (see **ADDRESSES** above), telephone: 334/441-5181, extension 33.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The ABM is one of eight subspecies of the oldfield mouse restricted to coastal dunes. The Service estimates that ABM historically occupied approximately 45 km (28 mi) of shoreline. By 1987, the total occupied linear, shoreline habitat for the ABM, Choctawhatchee, and Perdido Key beach mice was estimated at less than 35 km (22 mi). Monitoring (trapping and field observations) of the ABM population on other private lands that hold, or are under review for, an ITP during the last five years indicates the Fort Morgan Peninsula remains occupied (more or less continuously) by ABM along its primary and secondary dunes while ABM use interior habitats intermittently. The current occupied coastline for the ABM extends approximately 37 km (23 miles). ABM habitat on the Applicant's property consists of approximately 0.5 acre of wet beach, primary and secondary dunes. There is no designated critical habitat on the property.

The green sea turtle has a circumglobal distribution and is found in tropical and sub-tropical waters. The Florida population of this species is federally listed as endangered; elsewhere the species is listed as threatened. Primary nesting beaches in the southeastern United States occur in a six-county area of east-central and southeastern Florida, where nesting activity ranges from approximately 350-2,300 nests annually. The Service's turtle nesting surveys of the Fort Morgan Peninsula, from Laguna Key west to Mobile Point, for the period 1994-2001 have not confirmed any green turtle nests, though some crawls were suspected in 1999 and 2000.

The loggerhead turtle is listed as a threatened species throughout its range. This species is circumglobal, preferring temperate and tropical waters. In the southeastern United States, 50,000 to 70,000 nests are deposited annually, about 90 percent of which occur in Florida. Most nesting in the Gulf outside of Florida appears to be in the Chandeleur Islands of Louisiana; Ship, Horn and Petit Bois Islands in Mississippi; and the outer coastal sand beaches of Alabama. The Service's nesting surveys of the Fort Morgan

Peninsula, from Laguna Key to Mobile Point, for the 2001 report included over 70 loggerhead turtle nests.

The Kemp's ridley sea turtle is an endangered species throughout its range. Adults are found mainly in the Gulf of Mexico. Immature turtles can be found along the Atlantic coast as far north as Massachusetts and Canada. The species' historic range is tropical and temperate seas in the Atlantic Basin and in the Gulf of Mexico. Nesting occurs primarily in Tamaulipas, Mexico, but occasionally also in Texas and other southern states, including an occasional nest in North Carolina. In 1999, a Kemp's ridley sea turtle nested on Bon Secour National Wildlife Refuge and another along the Gulf Island's National Seashore in Perdido Key, Florida. In 2001, two dead Kemp's ridley sea turtle hatchlings were recovered, one on Bon Secour National Wildlife Refuge, and the second in Gulf Shores, Alabama.

The EA considers the environmental consequences of three alternatives, including a no-action alternative that would result in no new construction on the Project site. This alternative would not be economically feasible for the applicant. The remaining two development alternatives involve construction of a duplex residence, including a deck with a pool, and a driveway. The difference between the two development alternatives relates to the amount of undisturbed habitat remaining on the property after construction has been completed.

In the Applicant's preferred alternative, the project involves construction of a duplex residence on approximately 30 percent of the total lot (Lot 82 in the Ponce de Leon Subdivision). The remaining 70 percent of the habitat on the lot would be undisturbed. This alternative includes measures designed to avoid or minimize take by reducing the footprint of development and habitat disturbance by 3,752 square feet, which will be undeveloped and remain in indigenous vegetation.

In addition, a more aggressive land development alternative was considered. Under this alternative wholesale clearing, grading, and formal landscaping landward of the Coastal Construction Control Line would remove nearly all of the natural habitat and indigenous vegetation currently present on the property, with the exception of that protected by zoning and construction setbacks.

Trapping has not been done on the lot, however, based on trapping data on adjacent properties with similar habitat and the presence of ABM tracks, the ABM uses portions (some on a

permanent basis, other episodically) of the entire lot. The proposed project would adversely impact the ABM population directly by killing individuals in the construction areas via crushing or entombment and indirectly by introduction of house pets (cats), introduction of competitors (house mice), attraction of predators and permanent human disturbances. Occupation of the proposed structures could adversely affect sea turtle nesting by disorienting nesting females and disorienting hatchlings by excess artificial lighting, trampling nests, and trapping or disorienting nesting females and emerging hatchlings among tire ruts or beach equipment left after dark.

Under section 9 of the Act and its implementing regulations, "taking" of endangered and threatened wildlife is prohibited. However, the Service, under limited circumstances, may issue permits to take such wildlife if the taking is incidental to and not the purpose of otherwise lawful activities. The Applicant has prepared an HCP as required for the incidental take permit application, and as described above as part of the proposed project.

As stated above, the Service has made a preliminary determination that the issuance of the ITP is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of NEPA. This preliminary information may be revised due to public comment received in response to this notice and is based on information contained in the EA and HCP.

The Service will also evaluate whether the issuance of a section 10(a)(1)(B) ITP complies with section 7 of the Act by conducting an intra-Service Section 7 consultation. The results of the biological opinion, in combination with the above findings, will be used in the final analysis to determine whether or not to issue the ITP.

Dated: April 19, 2002.

Sam D. Hamilton,

Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 02-11567 Filed 5-8-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Battle of Midway National Memorial Advisory Committee; Meeting Notice

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Battle of Midway National Memorial Advisory Committee will hold its second meeting by teleconference on Thursday, May 30, 2002, from 2 p.m. to 4 p.m. Eastern Daylight Savings Time. During this teleconference, the committee will review plans for the 60th anniversary celebration of the Battle of Midway, the status of historic structures on Midway Atoll National Wildlife Refuge, and the standards for any new memorials to be placed on the atoll.

DATES: May 30, 2002, 2 p.m. to 4 p.m.

ADDRESSES: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, Arlington, Virginia, room 205 or by teleconference.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Any member of the public wishing further information concerning the meeting or who wishes to submit oral or written comments should contact Barbara Maxfield, External Affairs Chief for the Fish and Wildlife Service's Pacific Islands Office, Box 50088, Honolulu, HI 96805; telephone (808) 541-2749; fax (808) 541-2756 no later than May 24, 2002. You may obtain copies of the draft meeting agenda from the same source.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As directed by Congress, the Secretary of the Interior established the Battle of Midway National Memorial Advisory Committee to facilitate development of a strategy for the dedication and management of this National Memorial. Members of the public are welcome to participate in any of its meetings.

Members of the public in the Washington, DC, area may attend the meeting in person in the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Washington Office at 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, Arlington, Virginia, in room 205. Members of the public may also participate by teleconference, however, teleconference lines are limited. Please call Barbara Maxfield (808) 541-2749 if you are interested in participating in the call and to obtain the dial-in number. Seating in room 205 of the Fish and Wildlife Service's Arlington Square office is limited and is available on a first come, first served basis.

We will distribute written comments submitted to the Fish and Wildlife Service at the Honolulu address above to committee members prior to the meeting if we receive them in sufficient time to allow distribution. We will provide an opportunity for oral comments from the public during this teleconference meeting as well.

Dated: April 26, 2002.

Elizabeth N. Flint,

Acting Project Leader, Hawaiian and Pacific Islands Wildlife Refuge Complex.

[FR Doc. 02-11627 Filed 5-8-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[CA-670-1990; CA-40204]

Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR)/ Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Proposed Mesquite Mine Expansion

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: Newmont Gold Company (NGC), operator of the Mesquite gold mine located in Imperial County, California, has proposed to expand mining operations by a plan modification submitted to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) El Centro field office, on November 30, 1998. Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321-4347), and the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code, Section 21000, *et seq.*), the BLM and Imperial County, as lead agencies, have directed the preparation of a draft and final environmental impact report (EIR), environmental impact statement (EIS) by a third party contractor on the impacts of an expansion of this gold mining/ processing operation, which would extend the mine a projected six years. The draft EIR/EIS was completed during August, 2000, followed by a combined Federal and State 60 day public review period. Written comments on the draft were accepted until October 30, 2000. The final EIR/EIS is an abbreviated document that consists of responses to comments on the draft and an errata section with specific modifications and corrections to the draft in response to comments. A revised executive summary and list of persons and agencies who received copies of the draft are also included. This information, in conjunction with the draft, constitutes the final EIR/EIS. The final EIR/EIS presents a preferred alternative derived from seven alternatives, including NGC's proposed action. The preferred alternative is the agencies' attempt to reduce or avoid the potential environmental impacts of the proposed action.