

Monday, December 20, 2004

Part VI

Department of the Interior

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 92

Migratory Bird Subsistence Harvest in Alaska; Harvest Regulations for Migratory Birds in Alaska During the 2005 Season; Proposed Rule

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 92

RIN 1018-AT77

Migratory Bird Subsistence Harvest in Alaska; Harvest Regulations for Migratory Birds in Alaska During the 2005 Season

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service,

Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service or we) is proposing migratory bird subsistence harvest regulations in Alaska for the 2005 season. This proposed rule would establish regulations that prescribe frameworks, or outer limits, for dates when harvesting of birds may occur, species that can be taken, and methods and means that would be excluded from use. These regulations were developed under a co-management process involving the Service, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, and Alaska Native representatives. These regulations are intended to provide a framework to enable the continuation of customary and traditional subsistence uses of migratory birds in Alaska. The rulemaking is necessary because the regulations governing the subsistence harvest of migratory birds in Alaska are subject to annual review. This rulemaking proposes regulations that start on April 2, 2005, and expire on August 31, 2005, for the subsistence harvest of migratory birds in Alaska.

DATES: You must submit comments on the proposed subsistence harvest regulations for migratory birds in Alaska by February 18, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Send your comments on this proposed rule to the Regional Director, Alaska Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1011 E. Tudor Road, Anchorage, AK 99503, or fax to (907) 786–3306 or e-mail to ambcc@fws.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Armstrong, (907) 786–3887, or Donna Dewhurst, (907) 786–3499, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1011 E. Tudor Road, Mail Stop 201, Anchorage, AK 99503.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

What Events Led to This Action?

In 1916, the United States and Great Britain (on behalf of Canada) signed the Convention for the Protection of Migratory Birds in Canada and the

United States (Canada Treaty). The treaty prohibited all commercial bird hunting and specified a closed season on the taking of migratory game birds between March 10 and September 1 of each year. In 1936, the United States and Mexico signed the Convention for the Protection of Migratory Birds and Game Mammals (Mexico Treaty). The Mexico treaty prohibited the taking of wild ducks between March 10 and September 1. Neither treaty allowed adequately for the traditional harvest of migratory birds by northern peoples during the spring and summer months. This harvest, which has occurred for centuries, was and is necessary to the subsistence way of life in the north and thus continued despite the closed season.

The Canada treaty and the Mexico treaty, as well as migratory bird treaties with Japan (1972) and Russia (1976), have been implemented in the United States through the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). The courts have ruled that the MBTA prohibits the Federal Government from permitting any harvest of migratory birds that is inconsistent with the terms of any of the migratory bird treaties. The more restrictive terms of the Canada and Mexico treaties thus prevented the Federal Government from permitting the traditional subsistence harvest of migratory birds during spring and summer in Alaska. To remedy this situation, the United States negotiated Protocols amending both the Canada and Mexico treaties to allow for subsistence harvest of migratory birds by indigenous inhabitants of identified subsistence harvest areas in Alaska. The U.S. Senate approved the amendments to both treaties in 1997.

What Has the Amended Treaty Accomplished?

The major goals of the amended treaty with Canada were to allow traditional subsistence harvest and improve conservation of migratory birds by allowing effective regulation of this harvest.

The amended treaty with Canada provides a means to allow permanent residents of villages within subsistence harvest areas, regardless of race, to continue harvesting migratory birds between March 10 and September 1 as they have done for thousands of years. The Letter of Submittal of May 20, 1996, from the Department of State to the White House that officially accompanied the treaty protocol set the geographic baseline with lands north and west of the Alaska Range and within the Alaska Peninsula, Kodiak

Archipelago, and the Aleutian Islands as the initial subsistence harvest areas.

What Has the Service Accomplished Since Ratification of the Amended Treaty?

In 1998, we began a public involvement process to determine how to structure management bodies to provide the most effective and efficient involvement for subsistence users. This process was concluded on March 28, 2000, when we published in the Federal Register (65 FR 16405) the Notice of Decision: "Establishment of Management Bodies in Alaska to Develop Recommendations Related to the Spring/Summer Subsistence Harvest of Migratory Birds." This notice described the establishment and organization of 12 regional management bodies plus the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-management Council (Comanagement Council).

Establishment of a migratory bird subsistence harvest began on August 16, 2002, when we published in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 53511) a final rule at 50 CFR part 92 that set procedures for incorporating subsistence management into the continental migratory bird management program. These regulations established an annual procedure to develop harvest guidelines to implement a subsistence migratory bird harvest.

The next step established the first subsistence migratory bird harvest system. This was finalized on July 21, 2003, when we published in the Federal Register (68 FR 43010) a final rule at 50 CFR parts 20, 21 and 92 that created the first annual harvest regulations for the 2003 subsistence migratory bird season in Alaska. These annual frameworks were not intended to be a complete, allinclusive set of regulations, but were intended to regulate continuation of customary and traditional subsistence uses of migratory birds in Alaska during the spring and summer. See the August 16, 2002, July 21, 2003, and April 2, 2004, final rules for additional background information on the subsistence harvest program for migratory birds in Alaska.

This current rulemaking is necessary because the migratory bird harvest season is closed unless opened and the regulations governing subsistence harvest of migratory birds in Alaska are subject to public review and annual approval. The Co-management Council held a meeting in April 2004, to develop recommendations for changes effective for the 2005 harvest season. These recommendations were presented to the Service Regulations Committee (SRC) on July 28 and 29, 2004, for action.

This rule proposes regulations for the taking of migratory birds for subsistence uses in Alaska during 2005. This rule proposes to list migratory bird species that are open or closed to harvest, as well as season openings and closures by region. It also proposes minor changes in the methods and means of taking migratory birds for subsistence purposes. We propose to amend 50 CFR 92.5 by adding three new communities to the list of included areas, and to add corresponding harvest areas and season dates to 50 CFR 92.33.

How Will the Service Continue To Ensure That the Subsistence Harvest Will Not Raise Overall Migratory Bird Harvest?

The Service has an emergency closure provision (§ 92.21), so that if any significant increases in harvest are documented for one or more species in a region, an emergency closure can be requested and implemented. Eligibility to harvest under the regulations established in 2003 was limited to permanent residents, regardless of race, in villages located within the Alaska Peninsula, Kodiak Archipelago, the Aleutian Islands and in areas north and west of the Alaska Range (§ 92.5). These geographical restrictions opened the initial subsistence migratory bird harvest to only about 13 percent of Alaska residents. High-population areas such as Anchorage, the Matanuska-Susitna and Fairbanks North Star boroughs, the Kenai Peninsula roaded area, the Gulf of Alaska roaded area and Southeast Alaska were excluded from the eligible subsistence harvest areas.

Based on petitions requesting inclusion in the harvest, in 2004, we added 13 additional communities based on the five criteria set forth in § 92.5(c). These communities included: Gulkana, Gakona, Tazlina, Copper Center, Mentasta Lake, Chitina, Chistochina, Tatitlek, Chenega, Port Graham and Nanwalek, Tyonek and Hoonah, populations totaling 2,766. For 2005, we propose to add three additional communities for glaucous-winged gull egg gathering only. These southeastern communities include Craig, Hydaburg, and Yakutat, with a combined population of 2,459. These new regions would increase the percentage of the State population included in the subsistence bird harvest only to 14 percent.

Subsistence harvest has been monitored for the past 15 years through the use of annual household surveys in the most heavily used subsistence harvest areas, e.g., Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta. Continuation of this monitoring would enable tracking of any major

changes or trends in levels of harvest and user participation after legalization of the harvest. In the March 3, 2003, Federal Register (68 FR 10024), we published a notice of intent to submit the Alaska Subsistence Household Survey Information Collection Forms to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act, with a subsequent 60-day public comment period. In the July 31, 2003, Federal Register (68 FR 44961), we published a notice that the Alaska Subsistence Harvest Survey Information Collection Forms were submitted to OMB for approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act, with a 30-day public comment period. OMB approved the information collection on October 2, 2003, and assigned OMB control number 1018-0124, which expires on October 31, 2006.

How Did the Service Develop the Methods and Means Prohibitions, and What Is Proposed To Change for 2005?

In development of the initial regulations (68 FR 6697), the Comanagement Council encouraged the Service to adopt the existing methods and means prohibitions that occur in the Federal (50 CFR 20.21) and Alaska (5AAC92.100) migratory bird hunting regulations. We included some exceptions to the Federal regulations in the initial regulations and include some in this proposed rule to allow the continuation of customary and traditional spring harvest methods, but not the creation of new proposed traditions. In this proposed rule, we have incorporated the Bering Strait/ Norton Sound region's request to add St. Lawrence Island to the list of areas where an exception allows the use of live decoys to harvest auklets.

How Did the Service Decide the List of Birds Open To Harvest and What Is Proposed To Change for 2005?

The Service believes it is necessary to develop a list of bird species that would be open to subsistence harvest. The original list was compiled from subsistence harvest data, with several species added based on their presence in Alaska. The original intent was for the list to be reviewed by the regional management bodies as a check list. The list was adopted by the Co-management Council as part of the guidelines for the 2003 season. Most of the regions adopted the list as written; however, two regions created their own lists. One regional representative explained that it would take much more time than was available for his region to reduce the list and that, once a bird was removed,

returning it to the list would be more difficult later. Going with the original list was viewed as protecting hunters from prosecution for the take of an unlisted bird. To understand this rationale, one must be aware that subsistence hunting is generally opportunistic and does not usually target individual species. Native language names for birds often group closely related species, with no separate names for species within these groups. Also, preferences for individual species differ greatly between villages and individual hunters. As a result, regions are hesitant to remove birds from the list open to harvest until they are certain the species are not taken for subsistence use. The list therefore contains some species that are taken infrequently and opportunistically, but this is still part of the subsistence tradition. The Comanagement Council initially decided to call this list "potentially harvested birds" versus "traditionally harvested birds" because a detailed written documentation of the customary and traditional use patterns for the species listed had not yet been conducted. However, this terminology was leading to some confusion, so the Service renamed the list "subsistence birds" to cover the birds open to harvest.

The "customary and traditional use" of a wildlife species has been defined in Federal regulations (50 CFR 100.4) as a long-established, consistent pattern of use, incorporating beliefs and customs that have been transmitted from generation to generation. Much of the customary and traditional use information has not been documented in written form, but exists in the form of oral histories from elders, traditional stories, harvest methods taught to children, and traditional knowledge of the birds' natural history shared within a village or region. The primary source of quantitative data on customary and traditional use of the harvested bird species comes from Alaska subsistence migratory bird harvest surveys conducted by Service personnel and contractors and transferred to a computerized database. Because of difficulties in bird species identification, shorebird harvest information has been lumped into "large shorebird" and "small shorebird" categories. In reality, Alaska subsistence harvests are also conducted in this manner, generally with no targeting or even recognition of individual shorebird species in most cases.

Based on conservation concerns, we propose to close the harvest of tundra swans in Units 9(D) and 10 starting in 2005. This decision was made to protect a small resident population segment of

fewer than 500 tundra swans in the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge area. This small segment of the Pacific population is nonmigratory and appears to be morphologically distinct. Breeding pair surveys indicate that the local population has declined steadily over the past two decades and recruitment into the population is low.

At the request of the North Slope Borough Fish and Game Management Committee, the Co-Management Council recommended adding a provision to allow subsistence use of yellow-billed loons inadvertently caught in subsistence fishing (gill) nets on the North Slope. Justification given by the proponent was that yellow-billed loons are culturally important for the Inupiat Eskimo of the North Slope for use in traditional dance regalia. The Service Regulations Committee met on July 29, 2004, and proposed to set a maximum of 20 yellow-billed loons inadvertently caught annually in the North Slope Region. Individual reporting to the North Slope Borough Department of Wildlife will be required by the end of the season. In addition, the North Slope Borough is planning to ask fishermen, through announcements on the radio and through personal contact, to report all entanglements of loons to better estimate the levels of injury or mortality caused by gill nets. This provision to allow subsistence possession and use of vellow-billed loons caught in fishing gill nets is subject to annual review and renewal as part of Subpart D—Annual Regulations Governing Subsistence

How Does the Service Address the Birds of Conservation Concern Relative to the Subsistence Harvest?

Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC) 2002 is the latest document in a continuing effort by the Service to assess and prioritize bird species for conservation purposes. It was published in the Federal Register on February 6, 2003 (68 FR 6179). The BCC list identifies bird species at risk because of inherently small populations, restricted ranges, severe population declines, or imminent threats. The species listed need increased conservation attention to maintain or stabilize populations. The legal authority for this effort is the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act (FWCA) of 1980, as amended. Section 13(a)(3) of the FWCA, 16 U.S.C. 2912(a)(3), requires the Secretary of the Interior through the Service, to "identify species, subspecies and populations of all migratory nongame birds that, without additional conservation actions, are likely to become candidates for listing under the Endangered Species

Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531-1543).

The Co-management Council will continually review the list of subsistence birds. As appropriate, the Council will elevate hunter awareness of species that may have small or declining populations in an effort to directly involve subsistence hunters in conserving these vulnerable species.

Public Comments Solicited

The Department of the Interior's policy is, whenever practicable, to afford the public an opportunity to participate in the rulemaking process. If you wish to comment, you may submit comments by any one of several methods. You may mail, fax, or handdeliver comments to the address indicated under the caption ADDRESSES.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home addresses from the rulemaking record, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. In some circumstances, we will also withhold from the rulemaking record a respondent's identity, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. However, we will not consider anonymous comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety. You may inspect comments received on the proposed regulations during normal business hours at the Service's office in Anchorage, Alaska.

In developing the final rule, we will consider each comment received during the public comment period. In the final rule, we may not respond in detail to each comment received during the comment period, but we will summarize all comments received and respond to them.

Statutory Authority

We derive our authority to issue these regulations from the four migratory bird treaties with Canada, Mexico, Japan and Russia and from the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918 (16 U.S.C. 703 et seq.), that implements these treaties. Specifically, these regulations are issued pursuant to 16 U.S.C. 712 (1), which authorizes the Secretary of the Interior, in accordance with these four treaties, to "issue such regulations as may be necessary to assure that the taking of

migratory birds and the collection of their eggs, by the indigenous inhabitants of the State of Alaska, shall be permitted for their own nutritional and other essential needs, as determined by the Secretary of the Interior, during seasons established so as to provide for the preservation and maintenance of stocks of migratory birds."

Executive Order 12866

Executive Order 12866 requires each agency to write regulations that are easy to understand. We invite your comments on how to make this rule easier to understand, including answers to questions such as the following:

(1) Are the requirements in the rule clearly stated?

(2) Does the rule contain technical language or jargon that interferes with its clarity?

(3) Does the format of the rule (grouping and order of sections, use of headings, paragraphing, etc.) aid or reduce its clarity?

(4) Would the rule be easier to understand if it were divided into more

(but shorter) sections?

(5) Is the description of the rule in the ''Supplementary Information'' section of the preamble helpful in understanding the rule?

(6) What else could we do to make the rule easier to understand?

Send a copy of any comments that concern how we could make this rule easier to understand to: Office of Regulatory Affairs, Department of the Interior, Room 7229, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240. You may also email the comments to this address: Exsec@ios.doi.gov.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has determined that this document is not a significant rule subject to OMB review under Executive

Order 12866.

a. This rule will not have an annual economic effect of \$100 million or more or adversely affect an economic sector, productivity, jobs, the environment, or other units of government. The rule does not provide for new or additional hunting opportunities and therefore will have minimal economic or environmental impact. This rule benefits those participants who engage in the subsistence harvest of migratory birds in Alaska in two identifiable ways: First, participants receive the consumptive value of the birds harvested; and second, participants get the cultural benefit associated with the maintenance of a subsistence economy and way of life. The Service can estimate the consumptive value for birds harvested under this rule but does not have a dollar value for the cultural

benefit of maintaining a subsistence economy and way of life.

The economic value derived from the consumption of the harvested migratory birds has been estimated using the results of a paper by Robert J. Wolfe titled "Subsistence Food Harvests in Rural Alaska, and Food Safety Issues" (August 13, 1996). Using data from Wolfe's paper and applying it to the areas that will be included in this process, we determined a maximum economic value of \$6 million. This is the estimated economic benefit of the consumptive part of this rule for participants in subsistence hunting. The cultural benefits of maintaining a subsistence economy and way of life can be of considerable value to the participants, and these benefits are not included in this figure.

b. This rule will not create inconsistencies with other agencies' actions. We are the Federal agency responsible for the management of migratory birds, coordinating with the State of Alaska's Department of Fish and Game on management programs within Alaska. The State of Alaska is a member of the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-

management Council.

c. This rule will not materially affect entitlements, grants, user fees, loan programs, or the rights and obligations of their recipients. The rule does not affect entitlement programs.

d. This rule will not raise novel legal or policy issues. The subsistence harvest regulations will go through the same National regulatory process as the existing migratory bird hunting regulations in 50 CFR part 20.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities as defined under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). An initial regulatory flexibility analysis is not required. Accordingly, a Small Entity Compliance Guide is not required. The rule legalizes a pre-existing subsistence activity, and the resources harvested will be consumed by the harvesters or persons within their local community.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2), the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act, as discussed in the Executive Order 12866 section above.

a. This rule does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more. It will legalize and regulate a traditional subsistence activity. It will

not result in a substantial increase in subsistence harvest or a significant change in harvesting patterns. The commodities being regulated under this rule are migratory birds. This rule deals with legalizing the subsistence harvest of migratory birds and, as such, does not involve commodities traded in the marketplace. A small economic benefit from this rule derives from the sale of equipment and ammunition to carry out subsistence hunting. Most, if not all, businesses that sell hunting equipment in rural Alaska would qualify as small businesses. We have no reason to believe that this rule will lead to a disproportionate distribution of benefits.

b. This rule will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers; individual industries; Federal, State, or local government agencies; or geographic regions. This rule does not deal with traded commodities and, therefore, does not have an impact on prices for consumers.

c. This rule does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises. This rule deals with the harvesting of wildlife for personal consumption. It does not regulate the marketplace in any way to generate effects on the economy or the ability of businesses to compete.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

We have determined and certified pursuant to the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1501 et seq.) that this rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on local, State, or tribal governments or private entities. A statement containing the information required by this Act is therefore not necessary. Participation on regional management bodies and the Comanagement Council will require travel expenses for some Alaska Native organizations and local governments. In addition, they will assume some expenses related to coordinating involvement of village councils in the regulatory process. Total coordination and travel expenses for all Alaska Native organizations are estimated to be less than \$300,000 per year. In the Notice of Decision (65 FR 16405, March 28, 2000) we identified 12 partner organizations (Alaska Native non-profits and local governments) to be responsible for administering the regional programs. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game will also incur expenses for travel to Comanagement Council and regional management body's meetings. In

addition, the State of Alaska will be required to provide technical staff support to each of the regional management bodies and to the Comanagement Council. Expenses for the State's involvement may exceed \$100,000 per year, but should not exceed \$150,000 per year. When funding permits, we make annual grant agreements available to the partner organizations and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game to help offset their expenses.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule has been examined under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and has been found to contain no information collection requirements. We have, however, received OMB approval of associated voluntary annual household surveys used to determine levels of subsistence take. The OMB control number for the information collection is 1018–0124, which expires on October 31, 2006. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Federalism Effects

As discussed in the Executive Order 12866 and Unfunded Mandates Reform Act sections above, this rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment under Executive Order 13132. We worked with the State of Alaska on development of these regulations.

Civil Justice Reform—Executive Order 12988

In accordance with Executive Order 12988, the Office of the Solicitor has determined that the rule does not unduly burden the judicial system and that it meets the requirements of Section 3 of the Order.

Takings Implication Assessment

This rule is not specific to particular land ownership, but applies to the harvesting of migratory bird resources throughout Alaska. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12630, this rule does not have significant takings implications.

Government-to-Government Relations With Native American Tribal Governments

In accordance with the President's memorandum of April 29, 1994, "Government-to-Government Relations With Native American Tribal Governments" (59 FR 22951), and Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249,

November 6, 2000), concerning consultation and coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, we have consulted with Alaska tribes and evaluated the rule for possible effects on tribes or trust resources, and have determined that there are no significant effects. The rule will legally recognize the subsistence harvest of migratory birds and their eggs for tribal members, as well as for other indigenous inhabitants.

Endangered Species Act Consideration

Prior to issuance of annual spring and summer subsistence regulations, we will consider provisions of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, (16 U.S.C. 1531–1543; hereinafter the Act) to ensure that harvesting is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any species designated as endangered or threatened, or modify or destroy its critical habitats and that it is consistent with conservation programs for those species. Consultations under Section 7 of this Act conducted in connection with the environmental assessment for the annual subsistence take regulations may cause us to change these regulations. Our biological opinion resulting from the Section 7 consultation is a public document available for public inspection at the address indicated under the caption ADDRESSES.

National Environmental Policy Act Consideration

The annual regulations and options were considered in the Environmental Assessment, "Managing Migratory Bird Subsistence Hunting in Alaska: Hunting Regulations for the First Legal Spring/Summer Harvest in 2005," issued August 15, 2004. Copies are available from the address indicated under the caption ADDRESSES.

Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use (Executive Order 13211)

On May 18, 2001, the President issued Executive Order 13211 on regulations that significantly affect energy supply, distribution and use. Executive Order 13211 requires agencies to prepare Statements of Energy Effects when undertaking certain actions. Because this rule only allows for traditional subsistence harvest and improves conservation of migratory birds by allowing effective regulation of this harvest, it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866. Consequently it is not expected to significantly affect energy supplies, distribution and use. Therefore, this action is not a significant energy action

under Executive Order 13211 and no Statement of Energy Effects is required.

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 92

Exports, Hunting, Imports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Subsistence, Treaties, Wildlife.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, we propose to amend title 50, chapter I, subchapter G, of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 92—MIGRATORY BIRD SUBSISTENCE HARVEST IN ALASKA

1. The authority citation for part 92 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 703-712.

Subpart A—General Provisions

2. In subpart A, amend § 92.5 by revising paragraph (a)(2) introductory text and adding paragraph (a)(3) to read as follows:

§ 92.5 Who is eligible to participate?

(a) * * *

harvest season:

(2) Based on petitions for inclusion recommended by the Co-management Council in 2003, the Service added the following communities to the included areas under this part starting in the 2004

* * * * *

- (3) Based on petitions for inclusion recommended by the Co-management Council in 2004, the Service is adding the following communities to the included areas under this part starting in the 2005 harvest season:
- (i) Southeast Region—Craig, Hydaburg and Yakutat.
 - (ii) [Reserved.]

Subpart C—General Regulations Governing Subsistence Harvest

3. In subpart C, amend § 92.20 by revising paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 92.20 Methods and means.

* * * * *

(d) Taking waterfowl and other species using live birds as decoys, except for auklets on Diomede and St. Lawrence islands (Use of live birds as decoys is a customary and traditional means of harvesting auklets on Diomede and St. Lawrence islands.);

Subpart D—Annual Regulations Governing Subsistence Harvest

4. In Subpart D, add §§ 92.31 through 92.33 to read as follows:

§ 92.31 Migratory bird species not authorized for subsistence harvest.

- (a) You may not harvest birds or gather eggs from the following species:
- (1) Spectacled Eider *Somateria fischeri*).
 - (2) Steller's Eider *Polysticta stelleri*).
 - (3) Emperor Goose Chen canagica).
- (4) Aleutian Cackling Goose *Branta* hutchinsii leucopareia)—Semidi Islands only.
- (5) Tundra Swan *Cygnus* columbianus)—Units 9(D) and 10 only.
- (6) Yellow-billed Loon *Gavia* adamsii)—except North Slope Region. (Requirements for harvest and reporting are described in § 92.33(g)(4).).
- (b) In addition, you may not gather eggs from the following species:
- (1) Cackling Goose *Branta hutchinsii minima*).
- (2) Black Brant *Branta bernicla nigricans*)—in the Yukon/Kuskokwim Delta and North Slope regions only.

§ 92.32 Subsistence migratory bird species.

You may harvest birds or gather eggs from the following species, listed in taxonomic order, within all included regions. When birds are listed only to the species level, all subspecies existing in Alaska are open to harvest.

(a) Family Anatidae

- (1) Greater White-fronted Goose (Anser albifrons).
 - (2) Snow Goose (Chen caerulescens).
- (3) Black Brant (*Branta bernicla nigricans*)—except no egg gathering is permitted in the Yukon/Kuskokwim Delta and the North Slope regions.
- (4) Taverner's Cackling Goose (*Branta hutchinsii taverneri*).
- (5) Aleutian Cackling Goose (*Branta hutchinsii leucopareia*)—except in the Semidi Islands.
- (6) Cackling Goose (*Branta hutchinsii minima*)—except no egg gathering is permitted.
- (7) Lesser Canada Goose (*Branta canadensis parvipes*).
- (8) Tundra Swan (*Cygnus columbianus*)—except in Units 9(D) and 10.
 - (9) Gadwall (Anas strepera).
 - (10) Eurasian Wigeon (Anas penelope).
 - (11) American Wigeon (Anas americana).
 - (12) Mallard (Anas platyrhynchos).
 - (13) Blue-winged Teal (Anas discors).
 - (14) Northern Shoveler (Anas clypeata).
 - (15) Northern Pintail (Anas acuta).
 - (16) Green-winged Teal (Anas crecca).
 - (17) Canvasback (Aythya valisineria).
 - (18) Redhead (Aythya americana).
 - (19) Ring-necked Duck (*Aythya collaris*).
 - (20) Greater Scaup (Aythya marila).
 - (21) Lesser Scaup (Aythya affinis).
 - (22) King Eider (Somateria spectabilis).
- (23) Common Eider (Somateria mollissima).
- (24) Harlequin Duck (*Histrionicus histrionicus*).
 - (25) Surf Scoter (Melanitta perspicillata).

- (26) White-winged Scoter (*Melanitta fusca*).
- (27) Black Scoter (Melanitta nigra).
- (28) Long-tailed Duck (Clangula hyemalis).
- (29) Bufflehead (Bucephala albeola).
- (30) Common Goldeneye (Bucephala clangula).
- (31) Barrow's Goldeneye (*Bucephala islandica*).
- (32) Hooded Merganser (*Lophodytes cucullatus*).
- (33) Common Merganser (*Mergus merganser*).
- (34) Red-breasted Merganser (*Mergus serrator*).

(b) Family Gaviidae

- (1) Red-throated Loon (Gavia stellata).
- (2) Arctic Loon (Gavia arctica).
- (3) Pacific Loon (Gavia pacifica).
- (4) Common Loon (Gavia immer).
- (5) Yellow-billed Loon (*Gavia adamsii*)— North Slope Region only. (Requirements for harvest and reporting are described in § 92.33(g)(4).).

(c) Family Podicipedidae

- (1) Horned Grebe (Podiceps auritus).
- (2) Red-necked Grebe (Podiceps grisegena).

(d) Family Procellariidae

- (1) Northern Fulmar (Fulmarus glacialis).
- (2) [Reserved].

(e) Family Phalacrocoracidae

- (1) Double-crested Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax auritus*).
- (2) Pelagic Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax* pelagicus).

(f) Family Gruidae

- (1) Sandhill Crane (Grus canadensis).
- (2) [Reserved].

(g) Family Charadriidae

- (1) Black-bellied Plover (*Pluvialis squatarola*).
- (2) Common Ringed Plover (*Charadrius hiaticula*).

(h) Family Haematopodidae

- (1) Black Oystercatcher (*Haematopus bachmani*).
 - (2) [Reserved].

(i) Family Scolopacidae.

- (1) Greater Yellowlegs (*Tringa melanoleuca*).
 - (2) Lesser Yellowlegs (Tringa flavipes).
 - (3) Spotted Sandpiper (Actitis macularia).
 - (4) Bar-tailed Godwit (Limosa lapponica).
 - (5) Ruddy Turnstone (Arenaria interpres).
- (6) Semipalmated Sandpiper (*Calidris*
- (7) Western Sandpiper (Calidris mauri).
- (8) Least Sandpiper (Calidris minutilla).
- (9) Baird's Sandpiper (*Calidris bairdii*). (10) Sharp-tailed Sandpiper (*Calidris*
- (10) Sharp-tailed Sandpiper (*Calidris acuminata*).
 - (11) Dunlin (Calidris alpina).
- (12) Long-billed Dowitcher (*Limnodromus scolopaceus*).
- (13) Common Snipe (Gallinago gallinago). (14) Red-necked phalarope (Phalaropus
- lobatus).
 - (15) Red phalarope (Phalaropus fulicaria).

(j) Family Laridae.

- (1) Pomarine Jaeger (*Stercorarius* pomarinus).
- (2) Parasitic Jaeger (Stercorarius parasiticus).
 (3) Long-tailed Jaeger (Stercorarius
- longicaudus).
 - (4) Bonaparte's Gull (*Larus philadelphia*).(5) Mew Gull (*Larus canus*).
 - (6) Herring Gull (Larus argentatus).
- (7) Slaty-backed Gull (*Larus schistisagus*).
- (8) Glaucous-winged Gull (*Larus glaucescens*).
- (9) Glaucous Gull (Larus hyperboreus).
- (10) Sabine's Gull (Xema sabini).
- (11) Black-legged Kittiwake (*Rissa tridactyla*).
- (12) Red-legged Kittiwake (*Rissa brevirostris*).
 - (13) Ivory Gull (Pagophila eburnea).
 - (14) Arctic Tern (Sterna paradisaea).
 - (15) Aleutian Tern (Sterna aleutica).

(k) Family Alcidae

- (1) Common Murre (Uria aalge).
- (2) Thick-billed Murre (Uria lomvia).
- (3) Black Guillemot (*Cepphus grylle*).
- (4) Pigeon Guillemot (*Cepphus columba*).
- (5) Cassin's Auklet (*Ptychoramphus aleuticus*).
 - (6) Parakeet Auklet (Aethia psittacula).
 - (7) Least Auklet (Aethia pusilla).
 - (8) Whiskered Auklet (Aethia pygmaea).
- (9) Crested Auklet (Aethia cristatella).
- (10) Rhinoceros Auklet (*Cerorhinca monocerata*).
- (11) Horned Puffin (Fratercula corniculata).
 - (12) Tufted Puffin (Fratercula cirrhata).

(l) Family Strigidae

- (1) Great Horned Owl (Bubo scandiacus).
- (2) Snowy Owl (Nyctea scandiaca).

§ 92.33 Region-specific regulations.

The 2005 season dates for the eligible subsistence regions are as follows:

- (a) Aleutian/Pribilof Islands Region.(1) Northern Unit (Pribilof Islands):
- (i) Season: April 2–June 30.
- (1) Classon Talas 4 Assessed
- (ii) Closure: July 1-August 31.
- (2) Central Unit (Aleut Region's eastern boundary on the Alaska Peninsula westward to and including Unalaska Island):
- (i) Season: April 2–June 15 and July 16–August 31.
 - (ii) Closure: June 16-July 15.
- (3) Western Unit (Umnak Island west to and including Attu Island):
- (i) Season: April 2–July 15 and August 16–August 31.
 - (ii) Closure: July 16-August 15.
 - (b) Yukon/Kuskokwim Delta Region.
 - (1) Season: April 2—August 31.
- (2) Closure: 30-day closure dates to be announced by the Alaska Regional Director or his designee, after consultation with local subsistence users and the region's Waterfowl Conservation Committee. This 30-day period will occur between June 1 and August 15 of each year. A press release

- announcing the actual closure dates will be forwarded to regional newspapers and radio and television stations and posted in village post offices and stores. (c) Bristol Bay Region.
- (1) Season: April 2–June 14 and July 16–August 31 (general season); April 2– July 15 for seabird egg gathering only. (2) Closure: June 15–July 15 (general
- (2) Closure: June 15–July 15 (general season); July 16–August 31 (seabird egg gathering).
- (d) Bering Strait/Norton Sound Region.
- (1) Stebbins/St. Michael Area (Point Romanof to Canal Point):
- (i) Season: April 15–June 14 and July 16–August 31.
 - (ii) Closure: June 15–July 15.
 - (2) Remainder of the region:
- (i) Season: April 2–June 14 and July 16–August 31 for waterfowl; April 2–July 19 and August 21–August 31 for all other birds.
- (ii) Closure: June 15–July 15 for waterfowl; July 20–August 20 for all other birds.
- (e) Kodiak Archipelago Region, except for the Kodiak Island roaded area, is open to the harvesting of migratory birds and their eggs. The closed area consists of all lands and waters (including exposed tidelands) east of a line extending from Crag Point in the north to the west end of Saltery Cove in the south and all lands and water south of a line extending from Termination Point along the north side of Cascade Lake extending to Anton Larson Bay. Waters adjacent to the closed area are closed to harvest within 500 feet from the water's edge. The offshore islands are open to harvest.
- (1) Season: April 2–June 20 and July 22–August 31, egg gathering: May 1–June 20.

2) Closure: June 21–July 21.

- (f) Northwest Arctic Region.
 (1) Season: April 2–August 31 (in general); waterfowl egg gathering May 20–June 9; seabird egg gathering July 3–July 12; molting/non-nesting waterfowl July 1–July 31.
- (2) Closure: June 10–August 14, except for the taking of seabird eggs and molting/non-nesting waterfowl as provided in paragraph (f)(1) of this section.
 - (g) North Slope Region.
- (1) Southern Unit (Southwestern North Slope regional boundary east to Peard Bay, everything west of the longitude line 158°30′ S and south of the latitude line 70°45′ E to west bank of the Ikpikpuk River, and everything south of the latitude line 69°45′ E between the west bank of the Ikpikpuk River to the east bank of Sagavinirktok River):
- (i) Season: April 2–June 29 and July 30–August 31 for seabirds; April 2–June

- 19 and July 20–August 31 for all other birds.
- (ii) Closure: June 30–July 29 for seabirds; June 20–July 19 for all other birds.
- (2) Northern Unit (At Peard Bay, everything east of the longitude line 158°30′ S and north of the latitude line 70°45′ E to west bank of the Ikpikpuk River, and everything north of the latitude line 69°45′ E between the west bank of the Ikpikpuk River to the east bank of Sagavinirktok River):
- (i) Season: April 6–June 6 and July 7–August 31 for king and common eiders and April 2–June 15 and July 16–August 31 for all other birds.
- (ii) Closure: June 7–July 6 for king and common eiders and June 16–July 15 for all other birds.
- (3) Eastern Unit (East of eastern bank of the Sagavanirktok River):
- (i) Season: April 2–June 19 and July 20–August 31.
 - (ii) Closure: June 20-July 19.
- (4) All Units: yellow-billed loons. Annually, up to 20 yellow-billed loons may be caught inadvertently in subsistence fishing nets in the North Slope Region and kept for subsistence use. Individuals must report each yellow-billed loon inadvertently caught while subsistence gill net fishing to the North Slope Borough Department of Wildlife Management by the end of the season.
 - (h) Interior Region.
- (1) Season: April 2–June 14 and July 16–August 31; egg gathering May 1–June 14.
 - (2) Closure: June 15-July 15.

- (i) Upper Copper River (Harvest Area: State of Alaska Game Management Units 11 and 13) (Eligible communities: Gulkana, Chitina, Tazlina, Copper Center, Gakona, Mentasta Lake, Chistochina and Cantwell).
- (1) Season: April 15–May 26 and June 27–August 31.
 - (2) Closure: May 27-June 26.
- (3) The Copper River Basin communities listed in this paragraph also documented traditional use harvesting birds in Unit 12, making them eligible to hunt in this unit using the seasons specified in paragraph (h)(i) of this section.
 - (j) Gulf of Alaska Region.
- (1) Prince William Sound Area (Harvest area: Unit 6 [D]), (Eligible Chugach communities: Chenega Bay, Tatitlek).
- (i) Season: April 2–May 31 and July 1–August 31.
 - (ii) Closure: June 1-30.
- (2) Kachemak Bay Area (Harvest area: Unit 15[C] South of a line connecting the tip of Homer Spit to the mouth of Fox River) (Eligible Chugach Communities: Port Graham, Nanwalek).
- (i) Season: April 2–May 31 and July 1–August 31.
 - (ii) Closure: June 1–30.
- (k) Cook Inlet (Harvest area: portions of Unit 16[B] as specified in this paragraph (k).) (Eligible communities: Tyonek only).
- (1) Season: April 2–May 31–That portion of Unit 16(B) south of the Skwentna River and west of the Yentna River and August 1–31–That portion of Unit 16(B) south of the Beluga River,

- Beluga Lake and the Triumvirate Glacier.
 - (2) Closure: June 1-July 31.
 - (l) Southeast Alaska
- (1) Community of Hoonah (Harvest area: Lands in Icy Strait and Cross Sound, including Middle Pass Rock near the Inian Islands, Table Rock in Cross Sound and other traditional locations on the coast of Yakobi Island. The land and waters of Glacier Bay National Park remain closed to all subsistence harvesting [50 CFR 100.3]).
- (i) Season: Glaucous-winged gull egg gathering only: May 15–June 30.
 - (ii) Closure: July 1-August 31.
- (2) Communities of Craig and Hydaburg (Harvest area: small islands and adjacent shoreline of western Prince of Wales Island from Point Baker to Cape Chacon, but also including Coronation and Warren islands).
- (i) Season: Glaucous-winged gull egg gathering only: May 15–June 30.
 - (ii) Closure: July 1-August 31.
- (3) Community of Yakutat (Harvest area: Icy Bay [Icy Cape to Pt. Riou] and coastal lands and islands bordering the Gulf of Alaska from Pt. Manby southeast to and including Dry Bay.
- (i) Season: Glaucous-winged gull egg gathering only: May 15–June 30.
- (ii) Closure: July 1-August 31.

Dated: December 10, 2004.

Craig Manson,

Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.

[FR Doc. 04–27776 Filed 12–17–04; 8:45 am]