

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND  
URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

[Docket No. FR-5285-N-11]

**Notice of Proposed Information  
Collection: Comment Request; FHA-  
Insured Mortgage Loan Servicing  
Involving the Claims and Conveyance  
Process, Property Inspection/  
Preservation**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant  
Secretary for Housing, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

**DATES:** *Comments Due Date:* June 8, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Lillian Deitzer, Departmental Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410; e-mail [Lillian\\_L\\_Deitzer@HUD.gov](mailto:Lillian_L_Deitzer@HUD.gov) or telephone (202) 402-8048.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Donna Tomposki, Director, Valuation Policy Division, Office of Single Family Program Development, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-2121 (this is not a toll free number) for copies of the proposed forms and other available information.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department is submitting the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the

burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information:

*Title of Proposal:* Appraisal Industry Forms.

*OMB Control Number, if Applicable:* 2502-0538.

*Description of the Need for the Information and Proposed Use:* HUD requires that appraisals and inspections be performed on certain FHA insured properties and the FHA Appraiser and Inspector rosters assure that HUD has the ability to track the performance of appraisers and inspectors and sanction those who are not performing adequately, this is necessary to protect the FHA insurance fund.

*Agency Form Numbers, if Applicable:* HUD-92563I, HUD 92563A, HUD 92564-CN, Fannie Mae Forms: 1004, 1004c, 1004mc, 1025, 1073, 1075, 2055 1004MC.

*Estimation of the Total Numbers of Hours Needed to Prepare the Information Collection Including Number of Respondents, Frequency of Response, and Hours of Response:* The number of burden hours is 25,184. The number of respondents is 17,650, the number of responses is 468,150, the frequency of response is on occasion, and the burden hour per response is less than 15 minutes.

*Status of the Proposed Information Collection:* This is an extension of a currently approved collection.

**Authority:** The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 35, as amended.

**Ronald Y. Spraker,**

*Acting General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing—Deputy Federal Housing Commissioner.*

[FR Doc. E9-8031 Filed 4-8-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**
**Central Utah Project Completion Act**

**AGENCIES:** Department of the Interior, Office of the Assistant Secretary—Water and Science.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Wasatch County Water Efficiency Recycled Water Project.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Central Utah Water Conservancy District and the Department of the Interior

announce their intent to prepare an Environmental Assessment on the Wasatch County Water Efficiency Recycled Water Project. The project would make recycled water, which would be part of Central Utah Project (CUP) water, available in the facilities of the Wasatch County Water Efficiency Project (WCWEP), Bonneville Unit, CUP. It would provide an opportunity for more effective and efficient management of water, make efficient use of recycled water as an additional water supply, create opportunities to exchange water for stream and wetland benefits, and encourage the conservation and wise use of water, all of which are objectives of the Central Utah Project Completion Act.

The proposed action would make recycled water which would be part of CUP water available in the WCWEP facilities. All water supply commitments under the existing contracts and agreements will continue to be met.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Additional information pertaining to this action may be obtained by contacting Mr. Lynn Hansen, Program Coordinator, Central Utah Project Completion Act Office, 302 East 1860 South, Provo, Utah 84606, by calling (801) 379-1238, or e-mail at [lhansen@uc.usbr.gov](mailto:lhansen@uc.usbr.gov).

Dated: April 3, 2009.

**Reed R. Murray,**

*Program Director, Central Utah Project Completion Act, Department of the Interior.*

[FR Doc. E9-8086 Filed 4-8-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**
**Fish and Wildlife Service**

[FWS-R4-ES-2009-N0032]; [40120-1113-0000-C4]

**Endangered and Threatened Wildlife  
and Plants; 5-Year Status Reviews of  
13 Southeastern Plant Species**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of initiation of reviews; request for information.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) are initiating 5-year status reviews of 13 species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). We conduct these reviews to ensure that the classification of species as threatened or endangered on the Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants is accurate. A 5-year review is an

assessment of the best scientific and commercial data available at the time of the review.

**DATES:** To allow us adequate time to conduct these reviews, we must receive your comments or information on or before June 8, 2009. However, we will continue to accept new information about any listed species at any time.

**ADDRESSES:** For instructions on how to submit information and review information we receive on these species, see "Request for New Information."

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For species-specific information, contact the appropriate person under "Request for New Information."

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), we maintain lists of endangered and threatened wildlife and plant species in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at 50 CFR 17.11 (for wildlife) and 17.12 (for plants) (collectively referred to as the List). Section 4(c)(2)(A) of the Act requires that we conduct a review of listed species at least once every 5 years. Then, on the basis of such reviews, under section 4(c)(2)(B), we determine whether or not any species should be removed from the Lists (delisted), or reclassified from endangered to threatened or from threatened to endangered. If we consider delisting a species, we must support the action by the best scientific and commercial data available, and we must consider if these data substantiate that the species is neither endangered nor threatened for one or more of the following reasons: (1) The species is considered extinct; (2) the species is considered to be recovered; and/or (3) the original data available when the species was listed, or the interpretation of such data, were in error. Any change in Federal classification requires a separate rulemaking process. We make amendments to the List in the CFR through final rules published in the **Federal Register**.

Our regulations at 50 CFR 424.21 require that we publish a notice in the **Federal Register** announcing those species currently under our active review. This notice announces our active review of 13 species that are currently listed as endangered: The Brooksville bellflower (*Campanula robinsiae*), fragrant prickly-apple (*Cereus eriophorus* var. *fragrans*), pygmy fringe-tree (*Chionanthus pygmaeus*), snakeroot (*Eryngium cuneifolium*), Cooley's water-willow (*Justicia cooleyi*), scrub blazingstar (*Liatris ohlingerae*), Britton's beargrass (*Nolina brittoniana*), Key tree-cactus (*Pilosocereus robinii*), Lewton's polygala

(*Polygala lewtonii*), wireweed (*Polygonella basiramia*), sandlace (*Polygonella myriophylla*), Chapman's rhododendron (*Rhododendron chapmanii*), and Florida torreyia (*Torreya taxifolia*). The List is also available on our internet site at <http://endangered.fws.gov/wildlife.html#Species>.

#### What Information Do We Consider in a 5-Year Review?

A 5-year review considers the best scientific and commercial data that have become available since the current listing determination or most recent status review of each species, such as:

A. Species biology, including but not limited to population trends, distribution, abundance, demographics, and genetics;

B. Habitat conditions, including but not limited to amount, distribution, and suitability;

C. Conservation measures that have been implemented to benefit the species;

D. Threat status and trends (see five factors under heading "How do we determine whether a species is endangered or threatened?"); and

E. Other new information, data, or corrections, including but not limited to taxonomic or nomenclatural changes, identification of erroneous information contained in the List, and improved analytical methods.

#### Definitions

A. *Species* includes any species or subspecies of fish, wildlife, or plant, and any distinct population segment of any species of vertebrate which interbreeds when mature.

B. *Endangered* means any species that is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

C. *Threatened* means any species that is likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

#### How Do We Determine Whether a Species Is Endangered or Threatened?

Section 4(a)(1) of the Act establishes that we determine whether a species is endangered or threatened based on one or more of the following five factors:

A. The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range;

B. Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes;

C. Disease or predation;

D. The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms; or

E. Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence.

#### What Could Happen Because of This 5-Year Review?

If we find that there is new information concerning any of these 13 species indicating that a change in classification may be warranted, we may propose a new rule that could do one of the following: (a) Reclassify the species from endangered to threatened; (b) reclassify the species from threatened to endangered; or (c) delist the species. If we determine that a change in classification is not warranted, then the species will remain on the List under its current status.

#### Request for New Information

To do any of the following, contact the person associated with the species you are interested in below:

(a) To get more information on a species,

(b) To submit information on a species, or

(c) To review information we receive, which will be available for public inspection by appointment, during normal business hours, at the listed addresses.

- *Brooksville bellflower, Cooley's water-willow, and Britton's beargrass:* Sandy MacPherson, Jacksonville Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 7915 Baymeadows Way, Suite 200, Jacksonville, FL 32256-7517; fax: 904-731-3045; telephone: 904/731-3328; e-mail: [sandy\\_macpherson@fws.gov](mailto:sandy_macpherson@fws.gov).

- *Fragrant prickly-apple, pygmy fringe-tree, snakeroot, scrub blazingstar, Key tree-cactus, Lewton's polygala, wireweed, and sandlace:* Chris Belden, South Florida Ecological Services Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1339 20th Street, Vero Beach, FL 32960; fax: 772-562-4288; telephone: 772/562-3909, ext. 237; e-mail: [chris\\_belden@fws.gov](mailto:chris_belden@fws.gov).

- *Chapman's rhododendron and Florida torreyia:* Janet Mizzi, Panama City Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1601 Balboa Avenue, Panama City, FL 32405; fax: 850-763-2177; telephone: 850/769-0552, ext. 247; e-mail: [janet\\_mizzi@fws.gov](mailto:janet_mizzi@fws.gov)

We request any new information concerning the status of any of these 13 species. See "What Information Do We Consider in a 5-Year Review?" heading for specific criteria. Support your information by documentation such as maps, bibliographic references, methods used to gather and analyze the data, and/or copies of any pertinent publications, reports, or letters by knowledgeable sources.

#### Public Availability of Comments

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other

personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

#### Authority

We publish this document under the authority of the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Dated: February 25, 2009.

Michael L. Piccirilli,

Acting Regional Director, Southeast Region.

[FR Doc. E9-8078 Filed 4-8-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R2-ES-2009-N0059; 20124-11130000-C2]

#### Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Recovery Plan for the Rio Grande Silvery Minnow (*Hybognathus amarus*)

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of document availability: draft amendment and supplement to the 2007 Draft revised recovery plan for Rio Grande Silvery Minnow.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the availability of an amendment and supplement to our draft revised Recovery Plan (Recovery Plan) for the Rio Grande silvery minnow (*Hybognathus amarus*) under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). The species currently inhabits the Rio Grande in New Mexico and has been introduced to the Big Bend area of Texas. This amendment and supplement consist of revised draft recovery criteria and a population viability assessment (PVA, or draft Appendix H), respectively. We invite public review and comment on these documents. After review we will incorporate the documents into the Final Recovery Plan (the PVA as Appendix H).

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, we must receive any comments no later than May 26, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** If you wish to review the revised draft recovery criteria and PVA/draft Appendix H, you may obtain a copy from Jennifer Norris, Rio Grande

Endangered Species Act Coordinator, by U.S. mail at New Mexico Ecological Services Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2105 Osuna Road, Albuquerque, NM 87113; by telephone at 505-761-4710; by facsimile at 505-346-2542; or by e-mail at:

*Jennifer.Norris@fws.gov*. You may also download the documents from <http://www.fws.gov/endangered>. For more on obtaining and commenting on documents, see "Document Availability."

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jennifer Norris, by telephone at 505-761-4710, by any means under **ADDRESSES**.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The Rio Grande silvery minnow was extirpated from about 93 percent of its original range, persisting in only one 280-kilometer (km) (174-mile (mi)) reach of the Rio Grande in New Mexico, from just downstream of Cochiti Dam to the headwaters of the Elephant Butte Reservoir. As part of our efforts to recover the species, on December 17, 2008, we reintroduced Rio Grande silvery minnows to the Big Bend area of the Rio Grande under Section 10(j) of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) (73 FR 74357, December 8, 2008). We listed the species as federally endangered in 1994 (July 20, 1994, 59 FR 36988) and designated critical habitat in 2003 (February 19, 2003, 68 FR 8088). Throughout much of its historic range, the decline of the Rio Grande silvery minnow may be attributed in part to destruction and modification of its habitat due to dewatering and diversion of water, water impoundment, and modification of the river (channelization). Competition and predation by introduced non-native species, water quality degradation, and other factors may also have contributed to its decline.

Restoring an endangered or threatened animal or plant to the point where it is again a secure, self-sustaining member of its ecosystem is a primary goal of our endangered species program. To help guide the recovery effort, we are working to prepare recovery plans for most of the listed species native to the United States. Recovery plans describe actions considered necessary for conservation of species, establish criteria for downlisting or delisting them, and estimate time and cost for implementing the recovery measures. The recovery criteria form the basis from which to gauge the species' recovery and subsequent risk of extinction.

Our draft revised recovery plan, which we announced and took comments on in early 2007 (January 18, 2007, 72 FR 2301), includes scientific information about the species and provides criteria and actions needed to reclassify or delist (recover) the species. We may consider reclassifying the Rio Grande silvery minnow from endangered to threatened when three populations (including at least two that are self-sustaining) have been established within the historical range of the species and have been maintained for at least 5 years each. We may consider delisting when three self-sustaining populations have been established within the historical range of the species and have been maintained for at least 10 years each. The revised recovery criteria provide objective measures by which we may determine that populations of silvery minnow are self-sustaining.

We finalized our original Rio Grande Silvery Minnow Recovery Plan in 1999. On January 18, 2007, we issued a notice of availability and request for comments on our draft revised plan (72 FR 2301). After receiving and considering public and peer review comments on the original draft revised criteria, we developed the revised criteria and PVA document which we announce and make available with this notice. We will not re-release the draft revised plan and take further comments. After consideration of comments we received during this public comment period, we will finalize the recovery plan and make it available to the public through a **Federal Register** notice.

#### Document Availability

To download review copies of the documents from the internet, go to <http://www.fws.gov/endangered> and find "Search For a Species:" in the left-side menu. Type "silvery minnow" in the text box and click on "Search." Although we are no longer accepting comments on the January 2007 draft revised recovery plan, a copy of the plan may be useful for your review of the revised draft criteria and PVA/draft Appendix H. The draft revised recovery plan may be also obtained through the methods outlined above.

Comments and materials we receive will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the New Mexico Ecological Services Field Office in Albuquerque (see **ADDRESSES**).

#### Request for Public Comments

We are accepting written comments and information during this comment period on the revised draft recovery