

encourages such grantees to apply NSP principles to subsequent uses of the funds.

5. The paragraphs in section Z under the "Requirements" subheading, are amended to read as follows:

*"Transfer of Program Income After Closeout.*

1. Program Income. Gross revenues received by NSP grantees after closeout will be governed by the following requirements:

i. After requesting approval from the local HUD field office in writing, as set forth in paragraph N.4, and receiving prior written approval, the grantee may receipt the amounts to IDIS (after first revising any DRGR entries related to the funds) and add them to the grantee's CDBG program income receipts and all relevant CDBG program income requirements shall then apply. HUD will review and approve or deny a transfer consistent with paragraph N.4. Grantees must also provide proof of the program income transfer and submit information in their performance report as set forth in paragraph N.4.

ii. If the amounts are not receipted in IDIS, annual amounts of program income in excess of \$25,000 shall be used in accordance with all NSP requirements for eligible NSP properties, uses, and activities, including new construction, financing mechanisms, and management and disposition of land bank property.

b. If annual NSP program income does not exceed \$25,000, the funds shall be used for general administrative costs related to ensuring continued affordability of NSP units or added to the grantee's CDBG program income receipts and the CDBG requirements at 24 CFR 570.500(a)(4) shall apply, which may exclude such amounts from the definition of program income.

c. NSP program income may provide benefit to individuals and families with incomes up to 120 percent of AMI as permitted in NSP under section II.E;

d. If a grantee's annual NSP program income exceeds \$250,000 (after any transfer of program income to CDBG), 25 percent of the program income shall be used to house individuals or families at or below 50 percent of AMI; in instances in which a grantee's annual NSP program income does not exceed \$250,000, the requirements of paragraph II.E.2.e do not apply.

e. NSP2 grantees that are not CDBG entitlement communities or States must use post-closeout revenues generated from NSP-assisted activities that were funded before closeout for NSP purposes. If the grantee does not have another ongoing CDBG grant received directly from HUD at the time of closeout, then in accordance with 24 CFR 570.504(b)(5), income received after closeout from the disposition of real property or from loans outstanding at the time of closeout shall not be governed by NSP or CDBG rules, except that such income shall be used for activities that meet one of the national objectives in 24 CFR 570.208 and the eligibility requirements described in section 105 of the HCD Act. The provisions of 24 CFR 570.504(b)(5) are waived to limit its application to income received within 5 years of grant closeout. Any income received

5 years after grant closeout, as well as program income from funds outlaid after the date of the closeout agreement may be used without restriction. Such grantees are encouraged to use such funds in accordance with the principles above.

f. States may continue to act directly to implement NSP activities post-closeout.

g. HUD will provide direction to grantees by the date of closeout on procedures for reporting and tracking NSP program income revenues. Tracking will continue in DRGR until IDIS enhancements to allow NSP property registry and program income tracking are developed and released."

### Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance numbers for grants made under NSP are as follows: 14.218; 14.225; and 14.228.

### Paperwork Reduction Act

HUD has approval from OMB for information collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520). OMB approval is under OMB control number 2506–0165, 2506–0185, 2506–0117, and 2506–0193. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD may not conduct, or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless the collection displays a valid control number.

### Environmental Review

A Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) with respect to the environment has been made in accordance with HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 50, which implement section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)). The FONSI is available for public inspection between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. weekdays in the Regulations Division, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW, Room 10276, Washington, DC 20410. Due to security measures at the HUD Headquarters building, please schedule an appointment to review the FONSI by calling the Regulations Division at 202–708–3055 (this is not a toll-free number). Individuals with speech or hearing impairments may access this number via TTY by calling the Federal Relay at 800–877–8339 (this is a toll-free number).

Dated: August 30, 2019.

**David C. Woll, Jr.,**

*Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.*

[FR Doc. 2019–19708 Filed 9–11–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210–67–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

[Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2019–0087; FXES11130400000EA–123–FF04EF1000]

### Receipt of Incidental Take Permit Application and Proposed Habitat Conservation Plan for the Scrub-Jay, Volusia County, FL; Categorical Exclusion

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; request for comment and information.

**SUMMARY:** We, the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce receipt of an application from KBC Development (applicant) for an incidental take permit (ITP) under the Endangered Species Act. The applicant requests the ITP to take the federally listed scrub-jay incidental to construction in Volusia County, Florida. We request public comment on the application, which includes the applicant's proposed habitat conservation plan (HCP), and the Service's preliminary determination that this HCP qualifies as "low-effect," categorically excluded, under the National Environmental Policy Act. To make this determination, we used our environmental action statement and low-effect screening form, both of which are also available for public review.

**DATES:** We must receive your written comments on or before October 15, 2019.

#### ADDRESSES:

*Obtaining Documents:* You may obtain copies of the documents online in Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2019–0087 at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

*Submitting Comments:* If you wish to submit comments on any of the documents, you may do so in writing by any of the following methods:

- *Online:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments on Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2019–0087.

- *U.S. mail or hand-delivery:* Public Comments Processing, Attn: Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2019–0087; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS: JAO/1N, 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041–3803.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Erin M. Gawera, by telephone at 904–731–3121 or via email at [erin\\_gawera@fws.gov](mailto:erin_gawera@fws.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We, the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce receipt of an application from KBC Development for an incidental take permit (ITP) under the Endangered

Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA; 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*). The applicant requests the ITP to take the federally listed Florida scrub-jay (*Aphelocoma coerulescens*) incidental to the construction of an industrial/warehouse development (project) in Volusia County, Florida. We request public comment on the application, which includes the applicant's proposed habitat conservation plan (HCP) and the Service's preliminary determination that this HCP qualifies as "low-effect," categorically excluded, under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA; 42 U.S.C. 4231 *et seq.*). To make this determination, we used our environmental action statement and low-effect screening form, which are also available for public review.

### Project

The KBC Development requests a 10-year ITP to take scrub-jays incidental to the conversion of approximately 6.8 acres of occupied scrub-jay foraging and sheltering habitat for the construction of an industrial/warehouse development located on a 20.6-acre parcel in Section 4, Township 19 South, Range 30 East, Volusia County, Florida. The applicant proposes to mitigate for take of the scrub-jays by contributing \$208,447.20 to the Florida Scrub-jay Conservation Fund administered by The Nature Conservancy. The Service would require the applicant to make this contribution prior to engaging in activities associated with the project on the parcel.

### Public Availability of Comments

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made available to the public. While you may request that we withhold your personal identifying information, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

### Our Preliminary Determination

The Service has made a preliminary determination that the applicant's project, including land clearing, infrastructure building, landscaping, and the proposed mitigation measure, would individually and cumulatively have a minor or negligible effect on the scrub-jays and the environment. Therefore, we have preliminarily concluded that the ITP for this project would qualify for categorical exclusion and the HCP is low effect under our NEPA regulations at 43 CFR 46.205 and 46.210. A low-effect HCP is one that would result in (1) minor or negligible effects on federally listed, proposed, and

candidate species and their habitats; (2) minor or negligible effects on other environmental values or resources; and, (3) impacts that, when considered together with the impacts of other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable similarly situated projects, would not over time result in significant cumulative effects to environmental values or resources.

### Next Steps

The Service will evaluate the application and the comments received to determine whether to issue the requested permit. We will also conduct an intra-Service consultation pursuant to section 7 of the ESA to evaluate the effects of the proposed take. After considering the above findings, we will determine whether the permit issuance criteria of section 10(a)(1)(B) of the ESA have been met. If met, the Service will issue ITP number TE 41049D-0 to KBC Development.

### Authority

The Service provides this notice under section 10(c) (16 U.S.C. 1539(c)) of the ESA and NEPA regulation 40 CFR 1506.6.

### Jay Herrington,

*Field Supervisor, Jacksonville Field Office.*

[FR Doc. 2019-19725 Filed 9-11-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4333-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

**[NPS-WASO-NRNHL-DTS#-28781; PPWOCRADIO, PCU00RP14.R50000]**

### National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service is soliciting comments on the significance of properties nominated before August 24, 2019, for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places.

**DATES:** Comments should be submitted by September 27, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be sent via U.S. Postal Service and all other carriers to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St. NW, MS 7228, Washington, DC 20240.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The properties listed in this notice are being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places. Nominations for their consideration were received by the

National Park Service before August 24, 2019. Pursuant to Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60, written comments are being accepted concerning the significance of the nominated properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Nominations submitted by State Historic Preservation Officers:

### MARYLAND

#### Carroll County

Cover's Tannery, 626 Francis Scott Key Hwy., Keymar, SG100004486

### NEW MEXICO

#### Bernalillo County

Hoffmantown Baptist Church, 2335 Wyoming Blvd. NE, Albuquerque, SG100004492

Whitcomb Springs, 82 Carlito Springs Rd., Tijeras vicinity, SG100004498

### PENNSYLVANIA

#### Carbon County

Grotto, The-Our Lady of Lourdes Shrine, 15 E Garibaldi Ave., Nesquehoning, 11000923

### SOUTH CAROLINA

#### Greenville County

Holy Springs School, (African—American Primary and Secondary School Buildings MPS), 130 Holly Springs Rd., Belton vicinity, MP100004494

#### Lexington County

Chapin Commercial Historic District, Bounded by Lexington Ave., Beaufort St., Chapin Rd., and the rear lots along Columbia Ave., Chapin, SG100004495

### TEXAS

#### Bexar County

Main and Military Plazas Historic District, Roughly bounded by San Antonio River, E Nueva, Laredo, and Houston Sts., San Antonio, BC100004489

An owner objection was received for the following resource:

### CALIFORNIA

#### Los Angeles County

Japanese Hospital, (Asian Americans in Los Angeles), 101 S Fickett St., Los Angeles, MP100004488

Additional documentation has been received for the following resources: